

Date: Fri, 14 May 93 17:30:11 PDT  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #585  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Fri, 14 May 93                      Volume 93 : Issue 585

Today's Topics:

          Anyone going to Ham com 93 in Dallas?  
            ARRL Bulletin 54    ARLB054  
            ARRL Bulletin 55    ARLB055  
BUY BACK 11 METERS! (was Re: Selling the Airwaves -- News from Washington (3 msgs)  
            CFV to reorganize this group  
            DX Bulletin 24    ARLD024  
            How's a Honda Accord w/50W VHF?  
            Kenwood TS690S Mods  
            Problems using gamma match on 440 Mhz  
            Radio Shack 70cm HT?!?!  
            T9 stations on the air  
Zero-Sum Game (was Re: CFV to reorganize this group (rec.radio.amateur.\*))

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.  
-----

Date: 14 May 93 23:02:35 GMT  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!jmaynard@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Anyone going to Ham com 93 in Dallas?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993May12.223816.15591@ra.oc.com> gumaer@merlin.etsu.edu (Mark W.  
Gumaer) writes:

>I was just wondering if anyone is going to Ham-Com in Dallas this year?

I am...I'll be, among other places, in the Texas VHF-FM Society forum (you  
know...that bunch of rotten no-goodnik frequency coordinators...)

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can  
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.  
"The new facility will have educational and subsistence abuse programs." --  
KTRH Radio, reporting on a new prison

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 93 05:50:23 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!  
zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mstar!n8emr!bulletin@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: ARRL Bulletin 54 ARLB054  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

=====  
| Automatic relayed from packet radio via |  
| N8EMR's Ham BBS, 614-895-2553 |  
=====

ZCZC AG95  
QST de W1AW  
ARRL Bulletin 54 ARLB054  
>From ARRL Headquarters Newington CT  
May 13, 1993  
Relayed by KB8NW/OBS & BARF-80 BBS  
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB054  
ARRLB054 Fake SOS brings sentence

An amateur extra class licensee has been sentenced for making fake  
distress transmissions on 14.313 MHz.

Fifty-year-old Jorge Mestre, NS3K, of Fairfax, Virginia, had pled  
guilty in February to knowingly and willfully communicating false  
distress signals on August 7, 1992. At that time he made a plea  
agreement to surrender his FCC amateur license, dispose of his  
amateur radio equipment within 60 days, and make immediate  
restitution of 50,000 dollar(s) to the U.S. Coast Guard.

At sentencing in U.S. District Court in Alexandria, Va., Mestre was  
given one year probation on condition of his serving 60 days home  
confinement, ordered to perform 200 hours of community service, and  
to pay a 50 dollar special assessment.

Mestre could have received a fine of up to 250,000 dollar(s) and up to 6  
years imprisonment.

More information is in April, 1993 QST, page 79.  
NNNN

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 93 05:40:33 GMT  
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mstar!n8emr!  
bulletin@ames.arpa  
Subject: ARRL Bulletin 55 ARLB055  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

=====  
| Automatic relayed from packet radio via |  
| N8EMR's Ham BBS, 614-895-2553 |  
=====

ZCZC AG96  
QST de W1AW  
ARRL Bulletin 55 ARLB055  
>From ARRL Headquarters Newington CT  
May 13, 1993  
Relayed by KB8NW/OBS & BARF-80 BBS  
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB055  
ARRLB055 Special call signs

The FCC has amended its Amateur Service rules to provide for volunteer organizations to administer a system designed to provide special call signs to club and military recreation stations. This action was authorized by the Telecommunications Authorization Act of 1992. Organizations selected for the new system will be known as 'Club and Military Recreation Station Call Sign Administrators.'

An administrator must enter into a written agreement with the FCC and public notices will be issued listing the administrators. To be an administrator, the organization must exist for the purpose of furthering the amateur service. Its membership must include at least one percent of the amateur operators licensed by the FCC, and it must be capable of serving as an administrator in all places where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC.

An administrator must agree to accept and process all properly-completed license application forms from the trustees of club stations and from the custodians of military recreation stations without regard to race, sex, religion, national origin or membership (or lack thereof) in any amateur service organization. The organization cannot charge a fee or accept any form of

reimbursement for services provided as an administrator.

Within 10 days of receiving an application, an administrator must provide the FCC's licensing facility at Gettysburg, PA., with the actual license document, including the call sign. The document must be ready for endorsement and mailing.

Each administrator will be assigned initially a block of call signs having a two-letter prefix from the NA-NZ 'by three' series. An example of a block would be NA1AAA through NA0ZZZ. There are 26 possible blocks. The administrator must issue public announcements detailing the policies and procedures of its call sign system.

Dates for accepting applications from potential administrators have not yet been announced.

NNNN

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 1993 15:54:08 GMT  
From: fluke!chuckb@beaver.cs.washington.edu  
Subject: BUY BACK 11 METERS! (was Re: Selling the Airwaves -- News from Washington  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993May13.204520.3947@ttinews.tti.com> sorgatz@avatar.tti.com (Erik Sorgatz) writes:

> This is actually GOOD NEWS in disguise...let's all write the ARRL and  
> lobby for the OUTRIGHT PURCHASE of the 27.4051-28.0 MHz band segment!!  
>  
> In effect let's BUY BACK 11 METERS AS AN AMATEUR ALLOCATION! It's NOT  
> CURRENTLY ASSIGNED. IT'S ADJACENT TO OUR 10 METER ALLOCATION and it's  
> probably not a very attractive area for commercial applications..hence  
> the price should be low enough to allow the Amateur community to afford  
> it without worrying about the CBers outbidding us.  
>  
> Comments?

And then the owners of those 20 billion or so CB sets will stop using them when they find out that they have lost their official allocation?

--

Chuck Bowden / WB7R / chuckb@tc.fluke.com / (206) 356-6228

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 1993 16:15:00 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!umn.edu!  
cccs.umn.edu!rwh@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: BUY BACK 11 METERS! (was Re: Selling the Airwaves -- News from Washington

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993May13.204520.3947@ttinews.tti.com>, sorgatz@avatar.tti.com (Erik Sorgatz) writes:

> This is actually GOOD NEWS in disguise...let's all write the ARRL and  
> lobby for the OUTRIGHT PURCHASE of the 27.4051-28.0 MHz band segment!!  
>  
> In effect let's BUY BACK 11 METERS AS AN AMATEUR ALLOCATION! It's NOT  
> CURRENTLY ASSIGNED. IT'S ADJACENT TO OUR 10 METER ALLOCATION and it's  
> probably not a very attractive area for commercial applications..hence  
> the price should be low enough to allow the Amateur community to afford  
> it without worrying about the CBers outbidding us.  
>  
> Comments?

1. Its not clear that currently allocated and used frequencies are for sale, but rather the unused microwave and about to be deallocated military frequencies.
2. Why bother?
3. How do you plan to deal with the current occupants? They don't seem to hold much regard for the government so I'd hardly expect that they'll live in fear of the amateur community.

--rick, N0LOX

-----

Date: Fri, 14 May 93 19:57:05 GMT

From: netcomsv!butch!enterprise!news@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: BUY BACK 11 METERS! (was Re: Selling the Airwaves -- News from Washington

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993May13.204520.3947@ttinews.tti.com>, sorgatz@avatar.tti.com (Erik Sorgatz) wrote:

>  
> This is actually GOOD NEWS in disguise...let's all write the ARRL and  
> lobby for the OUTRIGHT PURCHASE of the 27.4051-28.0 MHz band segment!!  
>  
> In effect let's BUY BACK 11 METERS AS AN AMATEUR ALLOCATION! It's NOT  
> CURRENTLY ASSIGNED. IT'S ADJACENT TO OUR 10 METER ALLOCATION and it's  
> probably not a very attractive area for commercial applications..hence  
> the price should be low enough to allow the Amateur community to afford  
> it without worrying about the CBers outbidding us.  
>  
> Comments?  
>

> '73!  
> -Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY +-----+  
> TTI(es@soldev.tti.com)or: sorgatz@avatar.tti.com \*Government produces NOTHING!\*  
> 3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405 +-----+  
> (OPINIONS EXPRESSED DO NOT REFLECT THE VIEWS OF CITICORP OR ITS MANAGEMENT!)

I'd rather have 148-150mhz where we could unburden the 2 meter band of its overcrowdedness.

Don Woodward Jr.  
Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Co. VOICE: (404)  
494-8005

Lockheed VOICE: 8-554-8005  
woodward@hercii.lasc.lockheed.com Lockheed PROFS: DBWOODWA  
(OPINIONS EXPRESSED DO NOT REFLECT THE VIEWS OF LOCKHEED OR ITS  
MANAGEMENT!)

-----  
Date: 14 May 93 16:46:16 GMT  
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!linac!mgweed!cbnewsk!cbnewsj!k2ph@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: CFV to reorganize this group  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 93 05:40:33 GMT  
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mstar!n8emr!  
bulletin@ames.arpa  
Subject: DX Bulletin 24 ARLD024  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

=====  
| Automatic relayed from packet radio via |  
| N8EMR's Ham BBS, 614-895-2553 |  
=====

ZCZC AE50  
QST de W1AW  
DX Bulletin 24 ARLD024  
>From ARRL Headquarters Newington CT  
May 13, 1993  
To all radio amateurs

SB DX ARL ARLD024

ARLD024 DX news

Thanks to Tedd, KB8NW the Ohio/Penn PacketCluster Network Pete, KB1HY and Steve, WB8IMY, for the items in this week's bulletin.

SPRATLY AND BOUVET ISLANDS. Russian explorer Fedor Koniukhov continues on his way to Bouvet Island, possibly by way Spratly. R0L/MM passes traffic everyday on 14275 kHz at 0800z. Daily communication support is provided by UA0NL and other amateurs in the far east.

A second yacht has joined the expedition with Tony, BV2AH, among the members of the team. The radio station on this yacht is signing BV0MM.

Plans are for both yachts to travel to Singapore, possibly landing at Spratly Islands to operate as 1S/R0L. From there it is on to Bouvet. For more info, contact Yuri V. Zaruba, UA90BA, PO BOX 1, Novosibirsk-92, 630092, Russia, CIS.

9D, IRAN. A station signing 9D2CW was active on 21335 kHz around 1435Z and 21028 kHz at 1230z.

CAMBODIA. XU1AD, XU5DX and XU7VK were all active this week. XU5DX was 18085 kHz around 1445z. XU7VK was on 14197 kHz around 1245z. QSLs for XU7VK via HA0HW. And XU1AD was on 14169 kHz at 1500z.

GHANA. Sewell, 9G1SB, showed up on the 21335 DX net on May 5 and reported having problems with his TR4C and needed some help. QSL via CBA.

EQUATORIAL GUINEA. 3C1TR has been on 20 meters SSB with his new QSL Manager Joe, K8JP. Check around 14196 or 14226 kHz between 2130 and 2230z.

LIECHTENSTEIN. Andy, HB9EAS, will be signing HB0/HB9EAS May 14, 15 and 16 from Triesenberg. QSL via the bureau or direct. Direct QSLing will require both SAE and sufficient return postage.

CORSICA. Look for TK/DF4RD, operated by Dieter, from May 24 through June 2. Most activity will be CW. QSL via CBA.

MACEDONIA. Venco, 4N5JA, has been on 20 meter CW SSB. Check 14247 kHz around 2300z, where Alan, WA4JTK, operates a DX net and is the only QSL Manager for 4N5JA when Venco is on frequency. All others QSLs go to 4N5JA direct via the YU5XTC CBA.

CH8MNP, CAMERON ISLAND, CANADA, IOTA NA-009. This summer the

Calgary Amateur Radio Association will be sponsoring a DXpedition to Cameron Island. The island is 30 miles south of the north magnetic pole. OPs VE6EHX, VE6TZY, VE6KC, VE6CGY and VE6NAO will run two HF stations and 6 meters from August 18 through 24. This will be the first time grid square DQ76WI has been activated. QSL via VE6AO.

HA5BUS ON THE ROAD AGAIN. IMI/HA6HO, GABOR/HG5BKG and STEVEN/HG5CHI are now active as VE3/HA5BUS on 14025 kHz CW and 14088 kHz RTTY. Plans are for a return to the USA for a visit to Philadelphia and then onto Jacksonville, FL, where the bus will be put on a ship bound for the U.K.

DX-CARIBE CRUISE INFO. This Caribbean junket has the following stops scheduled.

Sunday	5/16	Aruba	P4,
Monday	5/17	Bonaire	PJ,
Tuesday	5/18	Grenada	J3,
Wednesday	5/19	Barbados	8P9,
Thursday	5/20	Martinique	FM,
Friday	5/21	in transit	MM,
Saturday	5/22	Curacao	PJ,
Sunday	5/23	Aruba	P4.

Suggested frequencies for CW are 3505, 7005, 14025, 18070, 21025, 24895 and 28025 kHz. For SSB, check 3795, 7070/7195, 14195, 18135, 21295, 24935 and 28495 kHz.

Some A013 operations are planned as well. SSB downlinks will be 145.890 MHz Mode B and 435.960 MHz Mode J. CW downlinks will be 145.870 MHz Mode B and 435.940 MHz Mode J.

MORE SATELLITE DX/NEWS. The ''Satellite Saints'', specifically J80I on ST. Vincent and V47I on ST. Kitts, were both very active on A013 this past week. QSL both via W0DQY.

ARSENE. As reported in Space CW28/ARLS028, the recently launched French Arsene packet-radio satellite has apparently suffered a serious failure. According to Jean Gruau, President of the Radio Amateur Club de L'Espace, their group and space officials were attempting to determine the cause of the problem. Monitor W1AW and PBBSs for further update bulletins.  
NNNN

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 1993 12:21:51 GMT  
From: infonode!ingr!b17news!monty.b17b.ingr.com!gjm0nt11@uunet.uu.net



Subject: How's a Honda Accord w/50W VHF?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <C6tEG0.BLK@cup.hp.com>, genem@cup.hp.com (Gene Marshall) writes:  
|>  
|> I was wondering if anyone had a feel for the amount of RF the Accord  
|> could withstand.

I have a 88 Accord LX and have been running 200 watts input power on 20m SSB for over 5 years without any indication of interference to the car's systems. This is with a standard Hustler antenna mounted on the left rear fender, and with power leads directly to the battery.

(My only VHF operation has been with a HT, to a mag mount or with the rubberduck; again, no problems.)

Greg AC4WF

--

Gregory Montllor    Mapping Sciences / System Integration & Implementation  
mailstop IW17B4    Intergraph Corp.    Huntsville, Alabama    35894-0001  
(205) 730-7249    email: gjmontll@monty.b17b.ingr.com

-----

Date: Fri, 14 May 1993 12:32:05 +0000  
From: pipex!warwick!qmw-dcs!qmw!demon!cix.compulink.co.uk!dplumb@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Kenwood TS690S Mods  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Can anyone supply the mods to open the gap between 30-50MHz on the TS690s?

73s de Dave G7MIL @ GB7HSN

-----

Date: Fri, 14 May 93 20:02:01 GMT  
From: netcomsv!butch!enterprise!news@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: Problems using gamma match on 440 Mhz  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993May14.144117.13349@VFL.Paramax.COM>, rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi) wrote:

>  
> I have an old 20-element Cushcraft 70 cm crossed yagi (OSCAR) from the  
> late 70's that uses a gamma match. I don't think their current models are  
> like this.



In article <1993May14.151046.22174@newsgate.sps.mot.com>,  
markm@bigfoot.sps.mot.com (Mark Monninger) writes:

> I saw a packet posting yesterday about a Radio Shack 70cm HT. Supposedly  
> they are starting to sell them. The poster gave a model number and a  
> price...\$299.95. Sounded like a 70cm version of the 2M one. Anyone here  
> know anything about it?  
>  
> Mark    AA7TA

11 Meters allready includes 144.000 - 148.000 in the New York area.  
I guess now, we could say goodbye to 440 also.

dave  
N2MXX

-----  
Date: Fri, 14 May 1993 06:48:13 GMT  
From: pipex!zaphod.crihan.fr!univ-lyon1.fr!scsing.switch.ch!aragorn.unibe.ch!  
news@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: T9 stations on the air  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi,

First stations with the new prefix for Bosnia-Herzegowina on the air!  
Yesterday I heard for the first time stations with T9 prefix.  
They worked on all bands ssb and cw.

vy 73 es gd hunting  
Andreas HB9GAV

--  
Andreas Wiesmann  
Institute of Applied Physics  
University of Bern  
Sidlerstrasse 5  
CH-3012 Bern  
Packet: hb9gav@hb9pd.hb9.eu  
E-Mail: wiesmann@iap.unibe.ch  
Phone: +41 31 65 45 90  
Fax: +41 31 65 37 65

-----  
Date: 14 May 93 23:04:31 GMT  
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!wupost!crcnis1.unl.edu!news.unomaha.edu!cwis!  
pschleck@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Zero-Sum Game (was Re: CFV to reorganize this group  
(rec.radio.amateur.\*))

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

scott@hplvec.LVLD.HP.COM (Scott Turner) writes:

>In rec.radio.amateur.misc, pschleck@cwis.unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

>> I must hasten to point out that there are actually 11 proposals, each  
>> requiring an individual "no" (or yes or abstain) vote. If you think there  
>> are too many groups, I encourage you to vote yes for the ones that you  
>> want, and at least abstain from voting against the ones you don't care  
>> about ("no" votes should be reserved for newsgroups that you think would  
>> be detrimental to Usenet).

>ARGHHHH!!!!!! Please vote the way you feel you should vote. Yes means  
>yes, no means no and abstain means don't care. My guess is that for  
>most of the readers of these groups those terms are self-explanatory.

>Doggone it Paul, if you feel as I do that there are \*WAY TOO MANY  
>GROUPS\* the best way to keep the divisions from happening is to vote no  
>on the groups you don't want. That's exactly what I intend to do, and  
>it's what I would encourage others to do as well.

>I'll assume your directions are well-intended, but from where I stand if  
>people follow your rules it almost guarantees passage of the new groups.  
>That's something many here simply don't want. Was that your intent?

>Let's keep this thing fair and allow people to vote for, against or  
>abstain as they choose. The amount of work that went into these  
>proposals should be appreciated, but is irrelevant to the voting  
>process. Let the groups stand or fall on their own merits.

I was just trying to point out that with a standard Usenet vote (with strong majority vote requirements, and significant minority veto power) this could turn into a zero-sum game with 300 yes votes for each group, but 101 no votes. Let's say that there are two sub-interests, one that wants \*.homebrew, \*.instruction, and \*.dx and another that wants \*.space, \*.digital.tcp-ip, and \*.emerg-services (that's the closest to a Code/No-code dichotomy I could think of :-), with each faction numbering 300. So, each sub-interest's newsgroups get 300 votes. However, slightly more than 1/3 (say 101) of each faction can't just live-and-let-live, and vote against the other sub-interest's newsgroups. Both sides are screwed out of the newsgroups they wanted.

I'll clarify what I said previously. If you want a newsgroup, vote yes. If it really doesn't matter to you (i.e. you'll read it if it's available, or you can at least not subscribe to it and feel that you aren't missing anything), then abstain. Only if you feel it would be

detrimental to Usenet, should you vote no.

An abstain is not a yes vote, it is a way of saying, "If 100 people care enough to vote for a newsgroup that I'm not interested in, I will respect their judgement, and not jeopardize its creation by raising a frivolous objection." Think of it as a Usenet variation on the Golden Rule:

"Vote unto other's newsgroups, as you would have others vote unto yours."

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

>PS I picked on Paul's posting, but a similar one from Ian Kluff carried  
>an almost identical message.

I hope you appreciate this bullet I took for you, Ian! :-)

-----

Date: 14 May 1993 11:41:23 GMT

From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!news.larc.nasa.gov!arbd0.larc.nasa.gov!zawodny@ames.arpa

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <C6xAy6.1ux@ucdavis.edu>, <f0zC03YNd1Wm00@amdahl.uts.amdahl.com>,  
<1stqqgINNk8q@mojo.eng.umd.edu>odny

Subject : Re: CFV to reorganize this group

In article <1stqqgINNk8q@mojo.eng.umd.edu> chuck@eng.umd.edu (Chuck Harris - WA3UQV) writes:

>

>Bad idea! Abstaining on a vote is the same as a "yes" vote. Since SOMEONE  
>wanted the group, or it wouldn't be on the ballot. Abstaining is how we  
>get these groups that pass with 6 "yes" votes, and 0 "no" votes (and 40,000  
>abstentions)

>

>Chuck Harris - WA3UQV

WRONG! The vote must pass by a 2/3 majority with at least 100 more YES votes than NO votes. I'll be surprized if we get more than 3 or 4 hundred votes total (not counting abstentions). This does seem quite fair and I agree that a NO vote should not be cast against groups that the voter really does not care about (would not subscribe to).

--

Joseph M. Zawodny (K04LW)

NASA Langley Research Center

Internet: zawodny@arbd0.larc.nasa.gov  
Packet: ko4lw@wb0tax.va.usa

MS-475, Hampton VA, 23681-0001

-----

Date: (null)  
From: (null)  
Or you could vote NO if they were unable to convince you!

--

-----  
Bob Schreibmaier K2PH | UUCP: ...!att!mtdcr!bob  
AT&T Bell Laboratories | Internet: bob@mtdcr.att.com  
Middletown, N.J. 07748 | ICBM: 40o21'N, 74o8'W

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #585  
\*\*\*\*\*